	PATENT COOPE	RATION TRE	<u>A</u> TY '					
From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY E	XAMIN NA AUTHORITO	21.05	·					
То:	- 2 MAR	2004	PCT					
CLARKE, Paula MARCONI INTELLECTUAL PR Crompton Close Basildon Essex SS14 3BA GRANDE BRETAGNE	010		RITTEN OPINION (PCT Rule 66)					
		Date of mailing (day/month/year) 26.02.2004						
Applicant's or agent's file reference P/62322/GPTU18		REPLY DUE	within 3 month(s) from the above date of mailing					
International application No. PCT/GB 03/02508	International filing date (d 11.06.2003	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 11.06.2002					
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC H04J14/02								
Applicant MARCONI UK INTELLECTUAL	PROPERTY LTD. et al							
This written opinion is the first drawn up by this International Preliminary Examining Authority.								
 This written opinion is the firs This opinion contains indicated 		_	nining Authority.					
	-	items.						
=								
_	and the second of spinor with regard to notoky, involved out of industrial applicability							
V ⊠ Reasoned stateme	ent under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) wi	ith regard to novelty, is	nventive step or industrial applicability;					
i =	citations and explanations supporting such statement VI Certain documents cited							
VII 🔲 Certain defects in	he international application	1						
VIII 🗀 Certain observatio	approximation ap							
	The applicant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion.							
request this Authority	When? See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request this Authority to grant an extension, see Rule 66.2(d).							
How? By submitting a writte For the form and the	By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9.							
For the examiner's of	Also: For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4. For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis. For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6.							
If no reply is filed, the internation	al preliminary examination rep	ort will be established on	the basis of this opinion.					
4. The final date by which the interest examination report must be es	ernational preliminary tablished according to Rule	e 69.2 is: 11.10.2004						
Name and mailing address of the later of								

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Authorized Officer

Helms, J

Formalities officer (incl. extension of time limits)

Davis, M

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2703



I.	Bas	is (of	the	ap	ini	on

1.	the	Vith regard to the elements of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to he receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as "originally iled"):					
	De	scription, Pages					
	1-8	as originally filed					
	Cla	ims, Numbers					
	1-2	1 as originally filed					
	Dra	awings, Sheets					
	1/3-	-3/3 as originally filed					
2.	h regard to the language , all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the guage in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
	The	These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:					
		the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)). the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3).					
3.	Witl inte	h regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the rnational preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:					
		contained in the international application in written form.					
		iled together with the international application in computer readable form. urnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.					
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.					
		The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.					
		The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence					

4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

□ the description, pages:□ the claims, Nos.:□ the drawings, sheets:

listing has been furnished.

5. This opinion has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

- V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- 1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Claims

1, 11

Inventive step (IS)

Claims

2-10, 12-21

Industrial applicability (IA)

Claims

and the state of t

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

BEST AVAILABLE COPY



Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 1. Reference is made to the following documents:
 - D1: EP-A-0 924 888 (NORTHERN TELECOM LTD) 23 June 1999 (1999-06-23) cited in the application
 - D2: WO 02 09299 A (SYCAMORE NETWORKS INC) 31 January 2002 (2002-01-31) cited in the application
 - D3: EP-A-0 543 570 (AMERICAN TELEPHONE & TELEGRAPH) 26 May 1993 (1993-05-26)
 - D4: DE 198 48 989 A (SIEMENS AG) 11 May 2000 (2000-05-11)
- 2. The present application does not meet the requirements of Art. 33(2) PCT. because the subject-matter of claims 1 and 11 is not new.
- 2.1 Document D1 discloses following features (applying the terminology of independent claim 1):

A method of controlling signal launch power in an optical communications network (Fig. 1), comprising pre-distorting the launch power (page 4, paragraph 17, lines 36-46) in accordance with known values of at least one of bandwidth and expected noise power on the signal path (page 4, paragraph 17, lines 19-35).

This is the complete wording of claim 1 the subject-matter of which consequently cannot be acknowledged as being novel.

It is noted that the features of claim 1 are also disclosed by documents D2 (abstract, page 4, line 19 - page 7, line 2), D3 (abstract; page 3, line 45 - page 5, line 41; Fig. 2, 7) and D4 (page 2, line 56 - page 4, line 25; Fig. 1).

2.2 Independent claim 11 represents the apparatus claim corresponding to the features of the method claim 1. Consequently, the above reasoning applies to





- 3. The features of dependent claims 2-10 and 12-21 are either disclosed or rendered obvious by documents D1-D4. Therefore, the subject-matter of these claims is not regarded as being novel or inventive.
- 4. The features of the claims are not provided with reference signs placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).
- 5. In order to facilitate the examination of the conformity of the amended application with the requirements of Article 34(2)(b) PCT, the applicant is requested to clearly identify the amendments carried out, no matter whether they concern amendments by addition, replacement or deletion, and to indicate the passages of the application as filed on which these amendments are based (see also Rule 66.8(a) PCT).